

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,333 12/12/2003		12/12/2003	Nobuyuki Nakashima	033294-022	7480
21839	7590	07/01/2005		EXAMINER	
		ERSOLL PC	LAZO, THOMAS E		
(INCLUDIN	ig buri	NS, DOANE, SWECH	(ER & MATHIS)		
POST OFFI	CE BOX	1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				3745	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		\mathcal{O}					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/733,333	NAKASHIMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thomas E. Lazo	3745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the may be a compared patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
·=	,						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · ·	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		` '					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreit a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/733,333

Art Unit: 3745

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment filed 6/13/05 is acknowledged.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 4, line 3, "after the completion of the idle stroke" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Bourlon et al. (6,192,685) or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bourlon et al. in view of Schunk (6,058,705). Bourlon et al. discloses a hydraulic brake apparatus with a tandem brake master cylinder a rod piston 44 moving in response to a brake-operating member, the rod piston 44 defining within the cylinder body a first reservoir pressure chamber in communication with a

Art Unit: 3745

reservoir and a first pressure chamber 58 in communication with a hydraulic brake circuit connecting the tandem brake master cylinder and a brake wheel cylinder, the rod piston 44 having a first valve which is adapted to establish and shut off communication between the first pressure chamber 58 and the first reservoir pressure chamber, the rod piston 44 being capable of an idle stroke while the first valve is in an establishing condition in which the first valve establishes the communication between the first pressure chamber 58 and the first reservoir pressure chamber, a floating piston 60 moving in response to the rod piston 44, the floating piston 60 defining within the cylinder body a second reservoir pressure chamber in communication with the reservoir and a second pressure chamber 59 in communication with the hydraulic brake circuit, the floating piston 60 having a second valve which is adapted to establish and shut off communication between the second pressure chamber 59 and the second reservoir pressure chamber, the floating piston 60 being capable of an idle stroke while the second valve is in an establishing condition in which the second valve establishes the communication between the second pressure chamber 59 and the second reservoir pressure chamber, a separation valve 30 provided in the hydraulic brake circuit and adapted to establish and shut off communication between the tandem brake master cylinder and the brake wheel cylinder, a pressure control valve unit 22,24 for controlling fluid pressure to be supplied from an external fluid-pressure supply source to the brake wheel cylinder while the separation valve 30 is in a shut off condition, a stroke simulator piston 46 for ensuring a stroke of the brake-operating member in accordance with an input load to the brake-operating member, while the separation valve 30 is in the shut off condition, by allowing a stroke of the rod piston 44 and a stroke of the floating piston 60, and the idle stroke of the floating piston 60 starting during the idle stroke of the rod piston 44 and the

Art Unit: 3745

simulator piston 46 starts its stroke after completion of the idle stroke of the rod piston 44 so as to ensure the stroke of the brake-operating member. See Bourlon et al. col. 5, lines 1-35.

The idle stroke of the floating piston starting during the idle stroke of the rod piston is evidenced by Schunk, which discloses the idle stroke of a floating piston starting during the idle stroke of a rod piston. See Schunk col. 4, lines 30-54.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,333

Art Unit: 3745

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Lazo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4818. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Edward Look, can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Thomas E. Lazo
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3745

Page 5

TEL June 28, 2005